SPECTOR GADON & ROSEN, P.C.

NEW JERSEY OFFICE: ONE GREENTREE CENTRE 10,000 LINCOLN DRIVE EAST **SUITE 201** MARLTON, NJ 08053 [856] 778-8100 FAX: [856] 722-5344

NEW YORK OFFICE: ONE PENN PLAZA 36™ FLOOR NEW YORK, NY 10119 [212] 786-7394

nabrams@lawsgr.com

ATTORNEYS AT LAW SEVEN PENN CENTER 1635 MARKET STREET SEVENTH FLOOR PHILADELPHIA, PENNSYLVANIA 19103 [215] 241-8888 FAX: [215] 241-8844 WWW.LAWSGR.COM

June 23, 2015

JUN 2 3 2015 Nancy Abrams

FAX: [727] 896-4604

FLORIDA OFFICE:

SUITE 1550

[727] 896-4600

360 CENTRAL AVENUE

ST. PETERSBURG, FL 33701

DIRECT DIAL NUMBERS [215] 241-8894 FAX [215] 531-9154

*Licensed to Practice in Pennsylvania and Florida

Via Facsimile (267) 299.5078

The Honorable Michael M. Baylson U.S. Courthouse 601 Market Street Room 3810 Philadelphia, PA 19106-1741

AUG - 5 2015 MICHAEL E. KUNZ, Clork _ Dep. Clark

Re: Davis v. EDSI Solutions - Case No. 2:14-cy-5396

Dear Judge Baylson:

This letter is my short response to the letter from Barak Kassutto, Esquire that was forwarded to your office today. While Mr. Kassutto is correct that a party opposing a motion for summary judgment need not produce counter affidavits if there is other proper evidence in the record to show the existence of a genuine issue of material fact, SI Handling Systems, Inc. v. Heisley, 658 F.Supp. 362 (E.D.Pa. 1986), Your Honor correctly pointed out at oral argument that there is no other proper evidence in the record that contradicts Defendant's well documented assertion that Ms. Davis was told on or about September 1, 2010 that her employment with EDSI would end when its contract for the South EARN Center ended. As Your Honor noted, in opposing summary judgment, a litigant must cite specific evidence in the record and cannot rely on unsupported assertions or conclusory allegations in attempting to survive summary judgment. Williams v. Borough of West Chester, PA, 891 F.2d 458, 464 (3d Cir. 1989); Nationwide Property & Casualty Insurance Co. v. Feryo Hearing Aid Service, Inc., 895 F. Supp. 85, 87 (E.D.Pa. 1995).

Plaintiff clearly failed to carry her burden of producing specific evidence that contradicts Defendant's well documented evidence. It should be noted that, when asked at her deposition what information Cliff Smith had, she did not mention the alleged meeting regarding her transfer [Davis Deposition, Defendant's Exhibit "F", pp. 63:12 – 64:14]. Nor did she mention that alleged meeting when discussing what information Meredith Lang had [Davis Deposition, Defendant's Exhibit "F", pp. 64:15 – 65:1]. In light of this deposition testimony, it is respectfully suggested that Ms. Davis' eleventh hour Counter Affidavit is not sufficient to create an issue of material fact regarding when she was informed that her

3/3

Spector Gadon & Rosen, P.C. ATTORNEYS AT LAW

June 23, 2015 Page -2-

employment with EDSI would end on December 31, 2010, and this Court should enter summary judgment against Plaintiff on the basis that her claims are untimely.

Respectfully,

Nancy Abrams

NA/sds

cc: Barak Kassutto, Esquire